Applicant(s) Application No. 10/620,472 ATOJI ET AL. Interview Summary **Art Unit Examiner** 2193 Mark P. Francis All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Mark P. Francis. (2) Joscelyn G. Cochburn Reg. No. 27,069. Date of Interview: <u>11 September 2007</u>. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,12, and 20. Identification of prior art discussed: _ Agreement with respect to the claims $f \mid X \mid$ was reached. $g \mid X \mid$ was not reached. $g \mid X \mid$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreed to delete the word each from each of the independent claims 1,12, and 20 along with adding the word storage after readable in the preamble of claim 20. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. MENG-AL T. AN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required